The Acorns Primary and Nursery School



Pupil Premium Action Plan 2017–18

Summary information								
Academic Year	2017-18	Total PP budget	£182,160	Date of most recent PP Review	Jan 2017			
Total number of pupils	287	Number of pupils eligible for PP	142	Date for next internal review of this	Jan 2019			
				strategy				
		Number of pupils eligible for FSM	62					

Attainment	PP	Non PP / Other
% achieving ARE or above in reading KSI	39%	65%
achieving ARE or above in writing KSI	22%	57%
% achieving ARE or above in maths KSI	56%	74%
% passed phonics screening	40%	73%
% achieving ARE or above in reading KS2	48%	83%
% achieving ARE or above in writing KS2	66%	83%
% achieving ARE or above in maths KS2	52%	75%
% achieving ARE or above in GPS KS2	66%	75%
% achieving ARE or above in reading, writing & maths KS2	41%	75%
	Ever 6 & FSM	School (all pupils)
KSI-KS2 progress in reading	-1.32	-0.7
KSI-KS2 progress in writing	-0.27	-0.12
KSI-KS2 progress in maths	-1.98	-1.84

EYFS Good Level of Development

Year	Non	PP	Difference	
2017	76	78	+2	

Phonics Check Results

Year	Non	PP	Difference
2017	72	40	-32
2016	80	82	+2

End of Key Stage Combined Results

Year	Y2			Y6			
	Non	PP	Difference	Non	PP	Difference	
2017	52	21	-31	75	41	-34	
2016	21	10	-11	33	7	-26	

Barriers to future attainment

A	Some of our pupils have had events in their lives that mean that they are not always ready to focus upon their learning. Support at home is not always available or parents may not feel confident to help their child learn.								
В	A number of pupils display specific learning difficulties such as dyslexic traits so struggle to: record their learning, remember things and organise themselves.								
С	Many of our children struggle to communicate effectively due to speech and language needs. Many children do not have a language rich environment.								
D	Some of our children have not had a variety of rich experiences from which they can draw upon when learning or in	the wider world.							
Desired	l outcomes	Success criteria							
A.	Children feel safe and confident in school so that they can access their learning; programmes such as ELSA support children with greatest need.	Attendance and punctuality of PP children in in line with 'other' and at or above national.							
	Families are supported to parent and educate their children; regular high quality workshops are well attended. Children at Child In Need or Child Protection are safeguarded. School works with partner agencies to ensure that the best outcomes for the children are found. Families engage well with the process, or school endeavours to try all ways to keep open lines of communication.	Pupil questionnaires and conferences show that children enjoy school. Children at TAF, CIN and CP tell us they feel supported.							
	Children are able to share their wishes and feelings both formally (following a situation); through planned activities and at any time they need to. This means that children have someone and somewhere to go to if they are not feeling happy. Children in need of more complex psychological help receive it in a timely manner.	Families at TAF, CIN and CP engage well. Children receive support from specialists in school including the ELSA programme and from CAMHS.							
	Parents are provided with support to help them understand their child's education and opportunities are given for parents to come into school in less formal situations.	Incidents of poor behaviour, challenging or violent behaviour from children with PP are rare.							
	Disadvantaged children and families are motivated and engaged with school and fully understand why attendance is a high priority.	Every class invites parents into school on at least two occasion during the school year. Parents and children feedback positively about this.							
		Parents attend at least one workshop to support learning in the home (such as phonics, maths, homework, book look)							

B. Attainment of PP in current Year 2 class to be within 20% of other children in reading, writing and maths. (This difference to close by approximately 5% every year after that so that it is closed by Y6 – the difference will be diminished.)

Children with SEND make good progress given their level of need, both academically and socially.

Tailored support in class is provided to assist with specific learning difficulties and levels of attainment below age expected standards; high quality interventions ensure that gaps are addressed rapidly.

Transitions are smooth (upon entering school in Nursery and/or Reception; to each year thereafter; from Key Stage I to 2; into high school).

English and maths are high priority on our curriculum; quality training ensures that all staff members are fully equipped to deliver teaching which is never less than good.

Reading Recovery to ensure that children can engage with first quality teaching; RR children make accelerated progress.

Teachers and teaching assistants know how to teach reading and deliver the highest quality teaching so that children have a range of strategies.

The vast majority of children present their work at standard reflective of their age/stage.

Children have a range of strategies to promote memory/recall which can be applied in a range of contexts.

Pupils eligible for PP in Year 2 make rapid progress by the end of the year so that all pupils eligible for PP (not including SEND) meet age related expectations. Measured by KSI teacher assessments in reading, writing and maths.

Tailored, precision teaching evidenced in planning, books, environment and through pupil voice.

Additional time is facilitated to enable transitions.

English results of PP children is in line with 'others' at Y6.

Reading Recovery tracking shows rapid progress for pupils on RR and writing intervention.

AR and TT show higher progress for children with PP compared to 'others'.

Opportunities for English and maths skills application are abundant across the curriculum as evidenced through books, learning environment and pupil voice.

Provision shows multiple opportunities to develop fine motor skills & handwriting.

Children can explain different ways to remember.

	Average Scaled Score			Scaled Score 100+ Working at 'Expected Standard' (EXS) (GDS)			High Scaled Score Working at 'Greater Depth' (GDS)		
Key Stage Two Results	School 2017	School 2018	Gap 2018	School 2017 % EXS+	School 2018 % EXS+	Gap 2018	School 2017 % GDS+	School 2018 % GDS+	National 2018 % GDS+
Reading Disadvantaged Pupils (21)	100	104	0	48%	71%	+8%	3%	14%	-7%
Reading Non-Disadvantaged Pupils (19)	104	104	-	83%	63%		17%	21%	
Writing (TA) Disadvantaged Pupils (21)				66%	71%	+8%	7%	10%	-6%
Writing (TA) Non-Disadvantaged Pupils (19)				83%	63%		25%	16%	1
Maths Disadvantaged Pupils (21)	99	103	-1	52%	76%	+8	10%	11%	-3%
Maths Non-Disadvantaged Pupils (19)	102	104		75%	68%		8%	14%	2,7
Grammar, Punctuation and Spelling (GPS) Disadvantaged Pupils (21)	104	107	-2	66%	86%	+18%	21%	38%	-15%
Grammar, Punctuation and Spelling (GPS) Non-Disadvantaged Pupils (19)	106	109	-2	75%	68%	T10%	33%	53%	-13%

KS2 Combined Results (reading, writing & maths)	School 2017 (all)	School 2017 (Disadvantaged pupils)	Gap	National	School 2018 (all)	School 2018 (Disadvantaged pupils)	Gap	National 2018 (Disadvantaged Pupils)
EXS+	51%	41%	-10%	64%	65%	67%	+2%	48%
EXS+ (*minus high tariff children)		-			72%	70%	-2%	-
GDS+	5%	3%	-2%		3%	5%	-2%	4%
GDS+ (*minus high tariff children)		-			3%	5%	+2%	-

	School Progress Score 2017	School Progress Score 2018
Reading	-0.7 (all) -0.59 (Disadvantaged) +0.56 (minus 3 high tariff SEND pupils)	+0.30 (all) +0.6 (Disadvantaged) +0.72 (minus 3 high tariff SEND pupils)
Writing	-0.1 (all) -0.33 (Disadvantaged) +0.35 (minus 3 high tariff SEND pupils)	-0.2 (all) -0.5 (Disadvantaged) +0.16 (minus 3 high tariff SEND pupils)
Maths	-1.8 (all) -1.71 (Disadvantaged) -0.87 (minus 3 high tariff SEND pupils)	+0.6 (all) +0.5 (Disadvantaged) +0.39 (minus 3 high tariff SEND pupils)

	Ph	onics Screening Year I		
	2017	Gap	2018	Gap
All Pupils	60%		67%	
Non-Pupil Premium	70%	-30%	79%	-26%
Pupil Premium	40%		53%	
Not Pupil Premium & Not SEND	83%	-27%	88%	-21%
Pupil Premium & Not SEND	56%		67%	

KSI Disadvantaged		Expected	Standard			Greater Depth (GDS)			
Data	School 2016	School 2017	School 2018	National LA 2018	School 2016	School 2017	School 2018	National LA 2018	
Reading Disadvantaged Pupils	32%	37%	46%	63% 58% (-17% -12%)	5%	0%	8%	14% 12%	
Reading Non-Disadvantaged Pupils	59%	65%	74% (-28%)	77% 79%	9%	9%	3% (+5%)	29% 28%	
Writing Disadvantaged Pupils	11%	21%	31%	56% 50% (25% 19%)	5%	0%	8%	8% 5%	
Writing Non-Disadvantaged Pupils	27%	57%	52% (-21%)	72% 74%	5%	9%	3% (+5%)	18% 14%	
Maths Disadvantaged Pupils	47%	53%	39%	63% 58% (-24% -19%)	5%	0%	8%	12% 9%	
Maths Non-Disadvantaged Pupils	73%	74%	81% (42%)	79% 78%	0%	9%	16% (-8%)	24% 23%	

C.	Children learn in language rich environment to promote oracy and imagination	PWP completely embedded across the school as evidenced through books and environments.
	Children in need of specific intervention for speech and language are identified early. Intervention is supported through classroom practice and vice versa.	Role play is utilised expertly to promote speaking, listening and imagination.
	Standard English is modelled throughout the school day and children listen to adults read aloud.	Standardised tests as well as feedback from all stakeholders show rapid progress.
	Children are exposed to a range of high quality literature through a variety of exciting and engaging ways; they are immersed in a world of literature.	Speaking and writing show improvements in standard English. Pupil conferencing / questionnaires show high levels of engagement. Classrooms
		celebrate reading and promote different

D.	Every child in school has experienced a visit or visitor every term.	High quality visits are planned for and
	Every child in Y2, Y4 and Y6 have been provided with an opportunity for overnight trips which provide rich and varied activities.	high levels of engagement and enjoyment are reported by children.
	A range of activities, clubs, and experiences are provided both within and after school hours.	PP children engage as well as others in after-school clubs.
		PP children can access before and after school club.

Academic year	2017-18				
Quality of teaching f	or all				
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Continued rapid progress in writing so that children with PP achieve as well as 'others' by the end of Year 6.	PWP	PWP engages children speaking and listening first. Our children need this. Shared and Guided Writing are integral to this. All teaching, not only of English, will benefit from this. Daily word and grammar games will ensure that children have a secure grasp of the English language which will ensure that	English leader together with PWP team over the two years.	KC	At each PWP Team Day and also through MER and data.

Planned expenditure

		any gaps in punctuation are addressed quickly. (See PWP rationale for further detail)			
			Tot	tal budgeted cost	£3000 (over two years)
Phonics accuracy to increase both in reading and writing	Training and PWP	Reading is improving across the school showing the impact of changes to the teaching. Transference into writing is improving. The Phonics Check was 61% (lower than 2016). Therefore, further work is needed to ensure that phonics teaching is outstanding in KS1	MER programme CPD Evaluations	JP	Tracking half termly of phonics
			Tot	tal budgeted cost	£700
Formative and summative assessment provides teachers with information to close gaps in writing & maths	The Literacy Company & First 4 Maths moderation process; tailored marking and planning	Target Tracker is embedded across the school. Teachers are using the system for formative assessment; a judgement of band and step is made at the end of each term. Moderation through the cluster and within school from The Literacy Company & First 4 Maths will enhance practice. Cluster moderation will also provide an opportunity to develop further subject leader knowledge and this information will be shared through staff meetings.	Cluster and 1:1 moderation CPD evaluations MER Staff meetings	KC	
			Tot	tal budgeted cost	£5000

Impact of Spending on English

Impact

Teaching is consistently good as recognised by Ofsted February 2018, SIP and LA. National consultants for PWP recognise practice as strong, especially in EYFS. As a result, children report high levels of enjoyment, knowledge of genres and authors, and books show strong progress. EYFS, KSI and KS2 end of Key Stage data shows significant gains.

EYFS

Reading has increased overall by 10% and Writing has maintained standards despite the 2018 cohort having nearly double the number of SEND children (19% to 36%). There are now no gender differences in Reading and Writing. Disadvantaged data shows that difference of -9% in Reading (an improvement of 6%) and -16% in Writing (broadly the same as 2017). However, internal monitoring shows that children's skills have improved greatly, ensuring that they are ready for Year I which cannot be measured through the EYFSP. Additionally, being imaginative now stands at 91%, a direct result of the project.

End of Key Stage One:

Reading data has increased by 14%; writing by 5%. Boys' Reading has increased by 7%; girls by 20%. Writing data has risen by 5% with boys' writing increasing by 12%. Maths has risen by 4% & Greater Depth up by 9%.

End of Key Stage Two:

Reading Data has risen by 14% with a 2.9 increase in the scaled scores which reflects both the 11% raise in High Scaled Score and more children secure in their reading. Writing sees a slight increase at Expected Standard and Greater Depth. Internal monitoring shows that children are more secure in their writing, with more children sitting securely within the Expected Standard. Pupil Premium difference has been reduced from -25% to +5% in Reading. In Writing differences between girls and boys has been diminished and children with Pupil Premium outperforming non (+14%).

Impact of Spending on Maths

EYFS

Maths has broadly maintained at 70% (71% 2017) despite increased number of children with SEND.

Key Stage I

The gap between PP and non remains, although when SEND & PP pupils are removed from the data, disadvantaged data increases to 67% compared to non-disadvantaged non-SEND at 92%.

Key Stage 2

Disadvantaged children at the end of Key Stage 2 have outperformed their peers.

Children with dyslexic	Specialist dyslexia	A number of our children present with	MER programme by the SENDCo	NH	Impact will be addressed
tendencies make rapid	screening	dyslexic tendencies such as difficulties			through Pupil Progress
progress from their	Specialist dyslexia	with memory, organisation and literacy			Meetings as well as internal
starting point and gaps	intervention	skills. In 2016-17 some children were			tracking systems
in learning are closed		screened to assess their needs and			
		provision was put in place to support			
		them in the classroom. It was			
		established that additional learning was			
		required and so an experienced TA			
		team now works 1:1 with children in			
		addition to supporting in class for core			
		subjects in Year 3 and 4.			
		This year further screening will continue			

	as well as the interventions.			
			Total budgeted cost	£20,000
Impact				
Internal monitoring shows that ch	ildren made good progress from their starting points			
Parents feel confident	We provide a number of events	Questionnaires		
to engage with their	throughout the year to engage with	Attendance figures		
child's learning and	parents. This year we will continue with			
support school	our most successful workshops and			
	events (Christmas books, phonics and			
	maths training). We will also provide			
	termly book looks for parents.			
		1	Total budgeted cost	£500
			_	
Impact				

Feedback is strong from events, especially the Christmas books event.

Targeted support

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Improved rates of progress for PP children in maths and writing in Y2	Targeted additional teacher support	The experienced QT will enable children to receive enhanced provision where a gap between PP children and non PP is evident. The effect of this deployment will be a reduction in class size during certain lessons as well as additional expertise.	Regular monitoring of impact - updating of progress meeting records (half termly)	КС	Termly through data analysis and staff feedback. QT will move in Spring to area of greatest need.
Y6 to receive high quality cross curricular opportunities for English to promote		The QT will teach 3 afternoons in Y6 and I afternoon in Y5 to offer the highest quality provision for foundation subjects, linking closely with English so			

levels of literacy,		that children are provided additional			
especially greater		opportunities to practice and apply			
depth.		their English skills			
	1			Total budgeted cost	£46,288
Impact					
See KS1 and KS2 result	cs				
Improved rates of	Reading Recovery	In 2016-17 English teaching was	RR tracking	KC	Termly
progress in reading		enhanced significantly through in house	TT		
and writing for		training from the RR teacher and English			
Reception children		lead.			
and low attaining Y2		Nevertheless our children enter school			
pupils.		below typical so need additional support			
		to ensure rapid progress and to develop			
		key social skills.			
		A bespoke intervention has been			
		devised to enhance practice for children			
		in Reception who will receive RR style			
		reading/writing daily.			
		Additionally, the RR teacher will			
		provide ongoing support for teachers			
		and TAs across the school as well as			
		specific training.			
		The RR teacher is supported by a TA			
		who is also deployed in Y1/2 class. This			
		TA brings expertise in early reading and			
		writing. A further TA with expertise in			
		reading and SALT will also support.			
	•	•	•	Total budgeted cost	£38,500

Impact

Despite overall improvements in children's phonics application, and in their reading, phonics screening in YI remains below national, and the gap remains between PP and non PP.

Attainment in reading has increased across the school (see results). Children report high levels of enjoyment and know how to use a range of strategies (referenced in

Ofsted 2018).					
Children with specific speech and language difficulties receive quality intervention	SALT in school	A significant number of children enter our school with language skills lower than expected. A number of children have specific needs in SAL which can only be addressed through intervention. Often waiting lists for NHS SALT mean that children are not always seen in a timely manner or are seen infrequently; in-school support from the NHS is limited. Additionally, some children may not meet the threshold for support so would never receive the help they require and ultimately this could have an impact on English attainment and progress. Therefore, our onsite SALT is necessary for both interventions and training staff who can implement strategies in their own teaching. This year all staff are well supported to screen children, know what to do to help and when to seek advice.	Pupil Passports, internal tracking systems, TT, pupil conferencing, Healthcare Plans.	NH	Termly
			Total I	udgeted cost	£35,490
•		ND pupils below Key Stage Expectations. ificant gains for pupils on the programme.			
PP children with EAL can access learning and succeed across the curriculum	EAL Tutor	Children are welcomed to our school irrelevant of their backgrounds. Those with additional languages are quick to settle. However, all children with EAL face barriers to their learning both in learning a new language and through	Pupil conferencing MER Parental feedback	NH	Termly

cultural differences. We develop children's language skills through 1:1 sessions. We find this learning is vital to make a difference to learning in the classroom.				
Total budgeted cost				

Impact

Children with EAL including refugees made rapid progress in acquiring English

Other approaches

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Children feel safe and confident in school	2 full time School Family Liaison Officers	To ensure that safeguarding is exceptional two members of staff are essential. Evidence over a number of years has shown that both members of staff have had a significant impact on the safety and wellbeing of children, especially those most at risk. Additionally whilst all members of staff are committed to safeguarding, having two designated staff members with their own rooms provides a specific point of contact and place of safety for children.	Half termly formal meetings with SFLOs and SMT	НМ	Termly
Children are provided with an opportunity to share their thoughts and	ELSA	Children will be provided with timetabled, structured sessions to enable them to explore their emotions and reprogram their thoughts where	Baseline assessments followed by end of session assessments Reduction in outbursts, challenging behaviours; increased	NH	Termly

emotions		incorrect patterns have occurred.	engagement in lessons and school life.		
		1	Total b	udgeted cost	£42,672
continuum of need (suc Attendance is a Behaviour is Go Workshops hav	h as at Child in Nee t 95.1% (increase of ood (Ofsted Feb '18) re been well attende	m Ofsted and the SCIE team. As a result, che d and Child Protection) have received rapid 1%; three year rising trend). Further work and has further improved since then. Chall d dren require this due to high levels of safegu	I intervention as well as statutory dut is required on punctuality. lenging, violent behaviours are rare. I	cies upheld (such	as Working Together)
Children enjoy a range of visits, visitors and clubs which ensures that children can draw upon a variety of experiences in work and in their lives	Subsidised and free visits, experiences and clubs	In the past, experiences have been difficult to fund. Therefore, we now ensure that all can function through careful use of the Pupil Premium grant. Our before and after school club is heavily subsidised and supports a large number of PP children ensuring that their parents can work or that alternate travel arrangements can be met.	Pupil voice, EVC to ensure wide range of opportunities and display this	EML	Annually

Children with PP attended a wide range of activities, including residential visits.